

Pupil Premium Grant Expenditure Report 2017/18

School Name: Avanti House Secondary

Date2017/18



Proposed Pupil Premium Grant Expenditure Strategy: Report to Parents 2017-2018

This report provides information on how the school used the Pupil Premium (PP) in the last academic year and the impact this had on our pupil's academic achievement and personal wellbeing. It also shows how we made sure that the provision for this group of pupils was of consistently high quality so that each action funded by PP should lead to improvements in pupils' development and learning and enable them to achieve high standards. In our school, we are ambitious for all our children and have high expectations of all. Every effort is made to ensure that the extra funding is used to best effect so that it makes a strong impact on children's learning, success and happiness.

1.Summary Informa	tion				
School	Avanti House Second	ary School			
Academic Year	2017/18	Total PP Budget	£58905.	Date of most recent Internal Review	
Total Number of	734 (exc 6 th Form)	Number of students eligible for	63	Date of next recent	April 2018
Students		PP		Internal Review	

2. Current attainment 2017 Yea	r 11 Cohort	
	Students not eligible for PP (national Average)	Students eligible for PP (your school)
Dragrass 9	0.11	0.0
Progress 8	0.11	0.8
Attainment 8	49.8	53
Grade 5+/ En/Ma	49.4%	70%
Achieving Eng Bacc	25.6%	40%
Entering Eng Bacc	43%	60%

Other Evidence of Impact based upon IDSR.

- Disadvantaged students were in the top 3% overall for progress for Progress 8.
- Disadvantaged students were in the top 1% for Progress in Mathematics.
- Disadvantaged students were in the top 2% for Progress in EBacc subjects.
- Disadvantaged students were in the top 1% for Progress in Science VA
- P8 Score for disadvantaged progress in Mathematics 1.9 (1st in country)



• Breakdown of cohort in KS3 in relation to PP and the other students.

Year 7						
Pupils on roll	Gender		Attainment Ba	nd (FFT)	PP	
174	Male	89	Total	173	Total	18 (10%)
	Female	85	High	84 (49%)		[8H, 10M]
			Middle	66 (38%)	Male	8 (5%)
			Low	16 (9%)	Female	10 (6%)

Year 8						
Pupils on roll	Gender		Attainment Ba	and (FFT)	PP	
139	Male	72	Total	139	Total	14 (10%)
	Female	67	High	59 (42%)		[5H, 6M, 2L]
			Middle	45 (32%)	Male	8 (6%)
			Low	19 14%)	Female	6 (4%)

Year 9						
Pupils on roll	Gender		Attainment Ba	ınd (FFT)	PP	
147	Male	76	Total	150	Total	9 (6%)
	Female	71	High	58 (39%)		[4H, 2M, 2L]
			Middle	47 (31%)	Male	7 (5%)
			Low	25 (16%)	Female	2 (1%)



Year 10											
Pupils on roll	Gende	ſ			Attainn	nent E	Band (FF	T)	PP		
106	Male Female	!	55 51		Total High Middle Low		104 37 (36 43 (41 11 (11	%)	Total Male Female		11 (11%) [3H, 3M, 2L] 6 (6%) 5 (5%)
Year 11											
Pupils on roll	Gender			Atta	inment E	Band (FFT)	FSM	6 (FFT)		
96	Male Female	57 39		Tota High Mide Low	ı dle	36 (3	32%) 38%) 22%)	Tota Male Fem	e	10 (1 [2H, 9 (99 1 (19	6M, 1L] %)

Key Strategies for raising standards and achievement.

- Identification of PP students in seating plans allowing specific challenges and engagement through questioning and specific challenge.
- Marking first policy-PP student's books should be marked first with targeted feedback.
- Identification for aspirational career and further education opportunities to inspire in particular if they have the potential to be the first in the family to attend University.
- PP students will never be moved down a set unless there are exceptional circumstances that staying in a set would damage progress.
- Specific allocation of resources and the opportunity to attend revision sessions that have costed in English.
- All controlled assessments where possible students are supported to ensure that the minimum grade is the TAG with appropriate support.



- Mentoring programme for Year 11 disadvantaged students with a senior member of staff. Including career and post 16 advice-encouraged to remain in education and if appropriate the post 16 courses at Avanti House.
- Identification of PP cohort by HOD's and Senior staff in review of standards meeting and exam review.
- Attendance is key in the mentoring and monitoring-target of 96% achieved.
- Book reviews and work scrutiny must have a PP presence in the sample from each level of prior attainment.

3. Barriers to future attainment (for students eligible for PP) In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor literacy skills) A. Progress of all student eligible for pp in English and open element to match Mathematics B. Develop and support pupils in early stages of literacy, English as an addition language External Barriers (Issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance. A. Ensure excellent attendance and punctuality is maintained by pp students B. Creation of external opportunities for pp students

4. Des	ired Outcomes (desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	
Α	Improve the progress of pp students and to ensure there is no gap between pp and non pp	There is no gap presently between pp students
	students to prevent gender gap.	and non pp students. The aim is to improve the
		outcomes for in particular boys pp
В	Improve the attendance of pp students-currently 3% gap.	Reduce the gap between pp and non pp students
С	Further improve progress in English for all students with specific focus on PP and the open	Further improve from .31 in English and 0.04 in
	element of progress 8	the open element



5.Planned expenditure

Academic Year 2017-18

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

1.Quality of Teaching for all.

1.Quality of Teac				1	1
Desired	Chosen	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff Lead	Evidence and
Outcome	Action/approach	rationale for this choice?			Impact
A) improve attainment and rates of progress of pp students and to ensure their progress is positive	 Combination of teacher focus on PP strategies within lessons, and targeted intervention support outside of lessons (but still with subject specialist) Ensuring that aspirations of PP pupils are high and they are supported within lessons to achieve these goals. 	 Evidence suggests that pupils make more progress in productive learning environments where they are challenged and supported. The rationale behind keeping interventions with a subject specialist is based on our own school evidence of finding this to be a more successful approach than removing the pupil from the lesson for intervention. 	 TLRs in Teaching and Learning across all departments will have a responsibility for monitoring PP pupils. They will all be made aware of the individuals of concern in terms of behaviour. At each progress check, Pp pupils in departments will be monitored and compared to non-PP. Where any underachievement is identified, this will be raised with HoD or Head of KS and strategies will be put in place to address the existing gap. Regular communication between Maths department and TFA/Inclusions team. 	HOD'S RMO/VBA	PR1 takes place the end of each term.
В	Improve the literacy of	Sutton document improving	Early stage EAL student supported through	Head of	
	all pp students	literacy improves overall	small group literacy and TA support.	English	
		student outcomes. Students		and	
		are more able to access		SENCO.	



		materials across the curriculum	Employment of specialist English support for small groups and intervention		
Budgeted Cost-S	taffing costs for literacy/	core subjects/English/HAPS TLR			£28000
2. Targeted Supp	ort.				1
Desired Outcome	Chosen Action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff Lead	Evidence and Impact
A Improve standards and achievement through personalised intervention and support for pp students	 Revision classes and Saturday schools Collaboration between the pastoral and curriculum staff 	The desire to create opportunities for all students to personalise the learning through assessment and tracking and monitoring The desire to create opportunities for all students for all stud	 Holiday revision for GCSE students Saturday school Early stage EAL students small group literacy Holiday revision provided by external speakers Online tutoring such as GCSE pod Support with tuition 	Pastoral team and curriculum leads, HOD's	Student progress through the collection of data. This also will include behaviour and rewards
B Promote regular attendance of students through tracking and monitoring target 96%	 Daily monitoring. First day calling— Family involvement. Intervention through form tutor and pastoral team (weekly focused meeting) 	 This is based upon improving parental involvement Attendance below 90% has a specific impact on progress of all learners in particular pp 	 Monitoring of attendance and prioritisation of pp students Attendance and punctuality letters Attendance officer and pastoral teams contact students when there are unexplained absence Budget for rewards 	Andrea Kahn	Attendance of individuals improved in year (although group average at 94%)
Budgeted Cost		ciate PPM/Teaching and Learnin	a Toom /Docoures	<u> </u>	£20000



Desired Outcome	Chosen Action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff Lead	Evidence and Impact
A Ensure finance is not a barrier for participation in school activities	School trips and resourcing grants Supporting students to develop an in-depth interest in a subject or activity. These activities can also improve self- confidence and support social and friendship groups. PP student's take part in school trips as much as non pp students. Participation in trips and activities contribution to a student's participation	Student equality in opportunities to enable	Supporting pp student's with finance to enable them to purchase resources (ie art resources, books etc) Supporting pp student's with finance to enable them to take part in trips and activities. School financial support is usually 50% of the trip cost, however this is assessed on an individual basis. Monitoring and participation of HAPS students and other students who are pp in whole school activities	Andrea Kahn	The numbers of students-full participation in enrichment activities-student satisfaction and strong attitude to learning and school. Engagement and self confidence.

2. Current attainment 2017	7 Year 11 Cohort	
	Students not eligible for PP (national Average)	Students eligible for PP (your school)
Progress 8	0.11	0.8
Attainment 8	49.8	53
Grade 5+/ En/Ma	49.4%	70%
Achieving Eng Bacc	25.6%	40%
Entering Eng Bacc	43%	60%



Previous A	Academic Year	2016-17					
Desired Outcome	Chosen	Estimated impact	Lessons Learned	Cost			
	action/approach						
First quality teaching is the key to ensuring no gap between pp students and non pp students in the first set of results for Avanti	Specialist English tutor employed to working with student in literacy Capacity for staff to share best practice and co teaching in mathematics	Students may significantly above national progress and progress 8. Exclusions were below nation averages	This is a very successful strategy as the data strongly suggest students make good progress, in particular Maths and English	£35000			
house secondary Specialist staffing	Specialist staffing employed to work with pp students in the SEN area	Pp students make good progress	More targeted support for literacy in this area to enable access to the curriculum.	£15700			
Revision classes and tuition and Saturday school	Holiday sessions for GCSE students Some provided internally and externally	Students may significantly above national progress and progress 8. Exclusions were below nation averages	This was well attended	£1014			
A range of activities made available to pp student's such as music tuition and resources for duke of Edinburgh	Financial support available for: uniform music lessons, school equipment, trips, revision materials, taxis to external agencies			£2509.67			